



Greater Bedminster Community Partnership Agenda

Date: Monday, 27 March 2017
Time: 7.00 pm - 9.00 pm
Place: Southville Centre, Beaufort Road, Bristol, BS3 1QG

1. Welcome, Introductions and Apologies

2. Declarations of Interest

To note any declarations of interest from the Councillors. They are asked to indicate the relevant agenda item, the nature of the interest and in particular whether it is a **disclosable pecuniary interest**.

Any declarations of interest made at the meeting which is not on the register of interests should be notified to the Monitoring Officer for inclusion.

3. Public Resolution

Statements for the attention of the Partnership should be sent to

democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk no later than **12 noon on Friday 24th March**

2017. Please note that comments and participation in the debate are also

encouraged on the e-form discussion page and the Greater Bedminster Community

Partnership website [http://www.bristol.gov.uk/page/council-and-](http://www.bristol.gov.uk/page/council-and-democracy/greater-bedminster-community-partnership)

[democracy/greater-bedminster-community-partnership](http://www.bristol.gov.uk/page/council-and-democracy/greater-bedminster-community-partnership)

4. GBCP Planning Day (14/03/2017) update - Stef Brammar 7.15 pm

5. GBCP Constitutional Changes - Stef Brammar

6. **Feedback on the Bristol City Council options paper - Stef Brammar** (Pages 4 - 12)
7. **Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator Business Report - Andrew McLean** (Pages 13 - 37)
8. **Community Updates - Stef Brammar**
9. **Minutes of Previous Meeting** (Pages 38 - 51)

To consider the minutes of the meetings held on the;

1. 16th January 2017
2. 15th February 2017

To agree the minutes of the previous meeting as a correct record.

10. **Any other Business**

Date of Next Meeting to be agreed:

Contact – The local Neighbourhood Partnership (NP) Coordinator is:

Andrew McLean

Telephone : 0117 92 4446

e-mail : neighbourhood.partnerships@bristol.gov.uk

The Democratic Services Officer of the meeting is

Claudette Campbell

Telephone : 0117 92 23846

e-mail : democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk

What is a Neighbourhood Partnership?

Neighbourhood Partnerships are the route to influence and improve services in the neighbourhood for residents, community organisations, service partners, and where local councillors make decisions about Bristol City Council business

How do I get involved?

Anyone who lives or works in the area can get involved in this Neighbourhood Partnership by:

- **Attending this meeting and commenting on any item of business on the agenda.** Everyone is welcome to attend this meeting and contribute.
- **Submit a Public Forum statement** to the clerk to the meeting (contact details above) **no later than noon on the working day before the meeting.** The statement will, where possible, be sent directly to members of the Partnership, and be printed and circulated at the meeting.

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014

Any person attending a meeting must, so far as is practicable, be afforded reasonable facilities for reporting. This includes filming, photographing or making an audio recording of the proceedings.

Members of the public should therefore be aware that they may be filmed by others attending the meeting and that this is not within the authority's control. Oral commentary is not permitted during the meeting as this would be disruptive.

The future of Neighbourhood Partnerships – local decision making models for discussion

This paper sets out a number of ideas. We would like your feedback and suggestions. These are not fully worked up proposals. We understand there will be lots of questions about how things will work in practice. We are looking to develop these ideas and proposals with you. There will be time to work on the detail. During March we would like to have conversation about the broad ideas.

There will be a formal consultation which will start in May. This initial discussion is about helping us develop proposals which we can then consult on.

We would like feedback about these proposals and options by 7th April at the latest. We will be arranging a meeting with ward councillors to follow up these discussions. Please use this document to respond to each option or state your preferred option.

At the end of this document you will find some 'useful information' links where you will find some background information.

Priorities

- Retain access to some funding, especially in areas of most need
- Enable decisions about the local portion of CIL and other neighbourhood decisions to be made/informed locally
- find a mechanism that does not heavily involve paperwork for making local decisions

Options

A – Community 'Spaces'

There is a clear steer based on feedback from members that retaining something similar to a forum function is a priority. The proposal is to set aside £14k from the £271k remaining in the neighbourhood budget to support this, and for the money to be given to a nominated community organisation in the area so the community space is organised locally. Council colleagues will help where this is needed in the transition period as resources allow. Councillors will decide which community organisation will receive the funding to run the community space. This could be based on agreed criteria.

Option A1: To offer £200/£400/£600 per ward to organise 2 community events / spaces per year. This would be a universal offer across the city for every ward. Total cost £14,000 from the remaining budget. £200 would be offered to one councillor wards, £400 to two councillor wards and £600 to three councillor wards. For example, we know that St George want to keep the same boundaries and create a community partnership, this area would receive £800 to run their community spaces.

Option A2: To offer £1000 per existing NP rather than a set amount per ward. Universal offer across all NPs based on existing boundaries. Total cost £14,000.

Local decisions and allocation of funding

The way funding is currently devolved to members required a paperwork-heavy bureaucratic process. The important thing is for members (using feedback from local people) to inform the decisions in public on local relevant things, and for this to be something that a wider range of local people want to be part of.

C – Neighbourhood Funding

C.1 Neighbourhood budget (£271k minus £14k for community space costs and £7k for annual public event costs = £250k)

Option C1.1:

- £1,250 (one councillor ward) /£2,500 (two councillor ward) /£3,750 (three councillor ward) to be available each year to every ward that has access to less than £30k in CIL money via their community space. The money would be given to a local organisation chosen by the ward members and the idea would be that the money is then allocated via arrangements such as community soup* that engage with local people.
- Cost = up to £87.5k
- The remaining money (£169.5k+) to be distributed across the 42 LSOA in 10% most deprived (2015 data) for all areas that have less than £30k in CIL available in their community space area. For example, £4k per LSOA = Approximately £28k in Hartcliffe & Witherwood. Cost = £168k.
- Total cost = up to £253k

NB CIL threshold needs to be considered so that it doesn't disadvantage wards that are working together and we don't create more back office administration. At the moment CIL is allocated by NP area – this needs more thought.

Option C 1.2

- Same as above but with amended thresholds / amounts?

S106 and CIL decision making

There is only one option being proposed – this is based on what is possible with future resources and funding. **The proposal for the future is for an annual public event to take place where all of the community spaces can come together over a wider geographical area (North, East/Central, South).** It would be in this setting that allocation of funding takes place. In order to reduce the need for paperwork, and to allow flexibility, the decisions may not be legally devolved in the same way as they are currently, but any formal sign off would

require the allocation decided at this meeting to be honoured unless there were legal implications. Formal sign off might sit with Cabinet Members, the Mayor or a combination of members and officers. This is being explored.

Cost: this will need some officer support (perhaps through democratic services using the time that is spent on current NP meetings?). There may also be venue costs. The proposal is to use the remaining £38k in the NP budget on officer support for these meetings, and allocate up to £7k a year from the £271k Neighbourhood budget for costs associated with these events.

C2: Section 106 budgets

Important context: since the introduction of CIL, the law now says that s106 must be very specific about the mitigation measure and the location, so new s106 agreements do not have much real decision making associated with them.

Option C2.1: No local allocation of remaining s106 budgets - officers / Cabinet leads in consultation with ward members prioritise and deliver works

Option C2.2:

- Allocate remaining flexible s106 budgets at the annual public event (North, East/Central, South). These will tail off towards zero over time due to the newer s106 rules.
- Remove local decision making on s106 budgets that are very specific and therefore don't have any real decision making associated with them (e.g. installing a pedestrian crossing at a set location) – these decisions to go back to officers / Cabinet leads.

Option C.2.3: Allocate/prioritise all local s106 agreements at the annual public events (North, East/Central, South).

C3: CIL budgets

The way that the local component of CIL can be spent has two main constraints. The first is that the CIL must be spent on measures to support the growth of the area, and the second is that the CIL must be spent in accordance with the wishes of the local community (i.e. the community that the development that paid the CIL is located within or near). There are no specific geographical limits to this, but it is clear that CIL cannot be spent in a way that does not relate to the wishes of the community in or near to the development that paid the CIL (for example, it would be difficult to show that money from a development in the city centre could be spent legitimately on the outskirts of the city).

However, there is a case to be made that the money can be invested more widely than the current geographical areas imposed via Neighbourhood Partnerships. For example, if there

are fewer libraries or other public facilities in the future, a case could be made for spending CIL money on facilities that are some distance away from the development – further than the existing NP boundaries. The options below try to take this into account.

The method of allocation is not specified here. It could be through direct allocation at meetings, or through an application process (this would need to be done via a third party as there will be little officer resource to manage these process in the future – and may involve a percentage of the money to be paid in a fee).

Areas with Neighbourhood Development Plans (these are formal plans which are part of the Localism Act) receive an additional 10% and would expect the full 25% to benefit the plan area. It is proposed that allocation of the CIL attached to NDPs is made within the community space covering that area.

For example, CIL allocations connected with the Old Market Neighbourhood Development Plan would be made by Councillors in the community space covering Lawrence Hill.

Option C3.1: Allocate CIL spend at the annual public event (North, East/Central, South). 50% of the local element of CIL is to be spent in the community space area (ideally 2 or more wards but this will be locally determined also some areas may decide ward boundaries do not work for them) with 50% to be spent over a wider geographical area on anything that can legitimately be connected to supporting the growth of the wider area and the rules of CIL. Councillors will need to agree area wide priorities for spend.

Option C3.2: Allocate CIL spend at the annual public event (North, East/Central, South) according to member discretion and planning/legal advice. No specific geographic parameters would be set in advance, the responsibility would sit with the councillors to ensure that the CIL spend could be directly be connected to supporting the growth of the area.

Option C3.3: Allocate CIL spend in the same way as current arrangements – i.e. according to existing NP boundaries. The process for this would have to be managed locally as there is no allocated resource for managing this.

Some worked examples based on some of the NPs that have started to decide their future

(Please note the budgets are based on spend commitments as known on 1st Feb)

St George Community Partnership (2 x one councillor wards, 1 x two councillor ward)

- St George are planning to keep the current geographical boundary and form an open community partnership, independent of the council and working collaboratively with councillors and local people.

Budgets available to St George Community Partnership if the suggested options are taken

- £800 for running the community spaces
- £18,000 in CIL to spend in the Community Partnership area
- £5000 neighbourhood budget, allocated via community soup / at community space events / other allocation methods
- Access to additional funding (CIL, s106) and participation in budgeting and decision making at the annual event for East/Central area.

Bishopston, Cotham and Redland Neighbourhood Partnership (3 x two councillor wards)

- BCR are planning to keep the same geographical boundaries and build on the success of some of their recent community events and grant allocation events. Councillors and local residents are currently working together on the plans.

Budgets available to BCR if the suggested options are taken:

- £1,200 for running the community spaces
- £6,000 in CIL to spend in the Neighbourhood Partnership area
- £7,500 neighbourhood budget, allocated via community soup / at community space events / other allocation methods
- Access to additional funding (CIL, s106) and participation in budgeting and decision making at the annual event for North area.

Greater Bedminster Community Partnership (2 x two councillor wards).

- Greater Bedminster have been a strong, independent community partnership for many years who also took on the role of the Neighbourhood Partnership. They will continue to retain the community partnership.

Budgets available to Greater Bedminster Community Partnership if the suggested options are taken

- £800 for running the community spaces
- £55k in CIL to spend in the Community Partnership area
- Access to additional funding (CIL, s106) and participation in budgeting and decision making at the annual event for South area.

You can find further information about Neighbourhood Development Plans, Bristol's approach to CIL and what we know about 'deprivation' in the city by following the links below:

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/neighbourhood-planning--2>

<https://www.bristol.gov.uk/planning-and-building-regulations/community-infrastructure-levy>

<https://www.bristol.gov.uk/statistics-census-information/deprivation>

* **Community Soup** – this is based on the idea of ‘Bristol Soup’, a community-led project which support micro grants. People get together to have a light meal of soup. Everyone pays for the meal. This fund is then available to groups who present their ‘ask’ to the people gathered. The winning idea receives the finding and come back at a later date to share what happened. In Bristol this has led to groups receiving further funding and ‘in kind’ support. Crucially it minimises paperwork and is about local people deciding what’s important.

<https://bristolsoup.wixsite.com/home>

Neighbourhood Partnership transition – timeline DRAFT (1st March 2017)

This timeline is evolving and changing but it gives an idea of direction of travel and key milestones. We welcome feedback at forthcoming councillor and partnership meetings.

Action	Outcome	Timescale
<p>Transition phase 1 – up to 12 week piece of work within each np area to consider priorities and options for the way forward. Support from the neighbourhoods team could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) make links with groups/activists that may not be involved b) Have a full picture of the assets and resources c) Agree priorities. d) Understand the risks. e) City-wide networking/learning event/s. f) Organisational governance 	<p>This will result in a transition plan which will set out what communities and wanting/willing to do, where you want to be by 31st March 2018 and the help you think you will need to get there.</p>	<p>4th Feb – 28th April 2017</p>
<p>Draft proposals and options to support local decision making and resource allocation including S106 and CIL to be considered by Councillors and NPs/communities and feedback ideas and suggestions.</p>	<p>These early discussions will inform firm proposals for consultation in May. BCC receive feedback 7th April, work up firm proposals during April for consultation starting mid May.</p>	<p>Cllr meetings – Feb/March NP meetings/local discussions – March Feedback by 7th April</p>
<p>Set up 1 hr Cllr meetings every 4 weeks? (<i>What works?</i>)</p>	<p>Feedback/information sharing</p>	<p>Regular meeting</p>
<p>NP Team reduced by approximately 7 full time equivalent colleagues. Reallocation of team resource to support the transition process.</p>	<p>Reduction of officer support for NP transition process.</p>	<p>Process underway timescale depends on staff securing other roles – early part of 2017/18</p>
<p>Establish transition support group with partners.</p>	<p>Joint planning and pooling of resources so we can respond effectively to requests for support</p>	<p>March 2017</p>
<p>Discussions with equalities communities and young people (via the voice and influence groups</p>	<p>Develop ideas about how we can work together to inspire and involve diverse</p>	<p>March/April 2017 agree a way of working together.</p>

Action	Outcome	Timescale
and Youth Council) about	communities to be involved in and feel some ownership of neighbourhood conversations	
Neighbourhoods Scrutiny		31 st March 2017
<i>City wide networking event</i>	<i>Information sharing /Networking between areas. Consider feedback re decision making options/proposals Is this helpful?</i>	<i>Wk. com 3rd April TBC (2 hours evening)</i>
Transition plan complete	All NP areas will have a transition plan showing key actions and support needed between May and March 2018.	Complete by 30 th April 2017
BCC consider transition plans and with partners put together support package.	Offer of support for May 2017-March 2018.	Review plans wk. com 1 st May Respond wk com 8 th May 2017
<i>Citywide networking event –</i>	<i>Proposal of support in response to transition plans. Networking & information sharing - what would be useful?</i>	<i>Wk. com 15 May 2017</i>
Start of consultation on decision making/neighbourhood budget and s106/CIL proposals		May 2017
Final NP meetings/move community-led format where possible.	Meeting or event which is run by and for communities with support from the city council (rather than led by the city council)	June 2017
End of consultation	Consideration of feedback/revise and produce confirmed proposals	August 2017
Implement new arrangements		September 2017
Citywide networking event		Wk. com 11 th September
<i>SUBJECT TO CONSULTATION Introduction of new neighbourhood arrangements - annual area events: north/south/central & east</i>		<i>September/Oct 2017 –held at the same time each year.</i>
<i>SUBJECT TO CONSULTATION Community space (1) takes place</i>		<i>Commencing Dec/Jan (Timings of meetings to be locally determined)</i>
Transition phase 2 – Delivery of		May 2017 – 31 st

Action	Outcome	Timescale
action plans with agreed support		March 2018
Wider 'neighbourhoods' conversation including libraries, parks and community asset transfer		May 2017 – 31 st March 2018



**Greater Bedminster Community Partnership
27 March 2017**

Report of: Andrew McLean

Title: Business Activities Report

Page 13

For decision:

- 1. Transformers Youth Fund**

For information:

- 2. Youth Services Commissioning**
- 3. Highways update**
- 4. Environment sub group update**
- 5. To note the current levels of local S106 / CIL**

1. Transformers Youth Fund

The Greater Bedminster Community Partnership is asked to consider and select applications for the use of the Transformers Youth Fund (£5,000).

Organisation	Activity	Requested amount
LILAC (Local Isolation & Loneliness Action Committee)	An intergenerational project, working with 6th Form Students from Ashton Park School. The students will benefit by learning interpersonal skills, hopefully grow in confidence and importantly understand that people of all generations can learn and	£1,797.00

	are interesting.	
Bedminster Winter Lanterns	One of the key aims of the Bedminster Winter Lantern Parade project each year is to initiate and nurture a sense of community spirit and endeavour in local children from an early age through their artistic creativity	£3,000
Wheels Collective Bristol	Project that allows young people to express themselves through storytelling and physical activity. Through these activities the project aims to improve mental health in young people as expression fights depression, and also to make 5 possibly unwelcoming locations feel less so due to the memory of a good experience there with our project.	£695
Ashton Vale Club for Young People	The Creative Enterprise project is an opportunity for young people that attend Ashton Vale Club for Young People to learn a variety of creative and craft techniques to create products that they could sell to others as gifts.	£1740
The Deaner Cafe	To create a space for local children to access positive activities and adults. To involve local children in the design building and landscaping of the space. To showcase other available opportunities. To promote community development and cohesion for young people from different communities.	£1,955
		£9187

2. Youth Services Commissioning – see appendix 1

Please see appendix 1 for details of the current Youth Services commissioning round. Consultation closes on 27 April 2017. Details of the online questionnaire can be found here:

<https://bristol.citizenspace.com/people/targeted-youth-services-commissioning-plan/>

3. Highways update

- Greville Road DIY streets scheme – Preliminary consultation has now been carried out, and a meeting is arranged with the residents group in January (scheme linked to the Southville RPS review).
- Duckmoor Road – Preliminary designs are now being drawn up to upgrade the existing refuge islands along the road, to provide crossing points for pedestrians. Designs to be circulated for Highway Authority Approval in the New Year. Upgrades will include providing dropped kerbs and tactile paving on either side of the road to allow pedestrians to cross, and larger kerbing on the islands to provide more protection for pedestrians.

Please note, clarification is being sought by the Highways Department on the status of the City Council's budget in relation to Highways work.

4. Environment sub group update

For details of the St Johns Churchyard consultation options, **see appendix 2**

St Johns church yard consultation finished on Friday 24th February 2017

380 local residents and business consulted and 12 people responded

From comments received people are overall happy with the proposed improvements.

Main comments:

- Not to move Memorial Stone
- Lighting to be improved along proposed path
- Trees to be looked at.

Dan Judges will consider residents' suggestions and contact residents with an updated plan

The Environment Sub Group (ESG) would like the Partnership to consider how we can communicate the air quality problem to the public

ESG going forward:

- The group is exploring a more collaborative approach to the meeting and supporting each other's activities/share learning. The majority of people who attend are involved with parks or green spaces.
- Look at what other people are doing about environment issues in the community and possibly make links e.g. Town Team Environment group. Is there anything already happening in the community regarding air quality initiatives?

- Become more of an action group discussing and tackling issues in the communities control
- Help each other source external funding for parks green space improvements

ESG ask of BCC

- Going forward, if parks groups are to take on more responsibility - is possible for groups to hire private contractors to do improvements. There was a feeling of work not being done satisfactorily by BCC contractors.

5. To note the current levels of local S106 / CIL

Devolved Section 106 monies held as at 31 December 2016					
Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution	Update as of February 2016
Allocated funds - Parks					
06/00923 / Myrtle Street, Bedminster / ZCD...604	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£7,181.91	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Myrtle Street	Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds
08/02425 / East Street Baptist Church, East Street, Bedminster/ ZCD...798	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£6,434.87	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of the former East Street Baptist Church	Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds
06/05456 / 100 to 110 North Street, Bedminster / ZCD...892	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£21,535.14	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of 100 to 110 North Street	Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds
06/02765 / Former Plough Inn, 29 Bedminster Down Rd,	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£13,688.60	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of the former Plough Inn (Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds

Bedminster / ZCD...741					
10/05226 / 200 to 202 West Street, Bedminster / ZCD...978	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£3,300.00	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of 200 to 202 West Street	Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds
11/05202 / Former White Horse PH, 166 West St, Bedminster / ZCD...A85	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£6,068.70	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of 166 West Street	Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds
12/01836 / St. Francis Road, Southville / SB79	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£7,587.09	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of St. Francis Road	Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds
12/03634 / Coronation Road & West End Junction Southville / SB84	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£7,355.69	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of the Coronation Road / West End junction	Funding applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds.
06/01644 / Former Megabowl Site, Brunel Way, Ashton / ZCD...A41	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£156,360.80	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of the former Megabowl Site	<p>£28,407.89 applied to Dame Emily Park. Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds</p> <p>£100,000 allocated to the development of play facilities in Ashton Vale</p> <p>£19,590 allocated to Friends of South Street park – Lighting and resurfacing improvements, and tree planting</p> <p>£8362.91 allocated to Gorse Marshalls – play equipment for younger children</p> <p>All yet to be drawn down</p>

08/03578 / Former Mercedes Garage, Marsh Road, Ashton Gate / ...SC04	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£13,730.38	11 Jun 20	The provision of measures to improve and create recreational open spaces within one mile of Marsh Road	£10,200 committed and allocated to Accessible play equipment in Gorse Marsh Park. Funds yet to be drawn and transferred to the Parks department £3530.38 allocated to Gorse Marshalls – play equipment for younger children
12/03814 / 6 to 10 Stillhouse Lane, Bedminster / ...SC15	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£8,052.43 £1642.24 Remaining	20 Oct 20	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Stillhouse Lane	£5803.48 committed and allocated to replacing the wooden fence at the Windmill Hill City Farm. Funds yet to be drawn down and transferred to the parks Department. £606.71 allocated to Gorse Marshalls – play equipment for younger children
06/04512 / Tregarth Road Prefab Site, Ashton Vale / ZCD...A11	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£25,294.65 £1,026.65 Remaining	4 Oct 16	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Tregarth Road	£8,000 committed to Greville Smyth pavilion improvements £9768 committed and allocated to seating, bins and other improvements in the ACTA Harden and children's play area. Funds yet to be drawn down and transferred to the parks Department. £6,500 committed to Windmill Hill City Farm for a new garden space
Total		£279,248.52	Available/unallocated £2,668.89		

Unallocated funds – Parks

Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution	Update as of March 2016
05/01192 / 64 to 70 West Street, Bedminster / ZCD...981	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£6,297.07	5 Jul 14	The provision of children's play space and / or equipment within Bedminster Ward	Available
10/00152 / 3 to 7 Cannon Street, Bedminster / ...SC29	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£8,541.02	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Cannon Street	Available
05/01047 / Robinsons Building, East Street, Bedminster / ...SB56	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	£141,875.48	23 Apr 19	The provision of open space at the land at the end of St John's Street and Church Lane known as St John's Park	Available funds specifically for St Johns Park
13/04143 / Land to rear of 144 to 152 York Road, Bedminster / ...SB48	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	£3,870.10	No Limit	The provision and maintenance of Tree Planting either on-street or in public open space as the Council shall determine within a one mile radius of 144 to 152 York Road.	Available to support tree planting
13/02550 / Parish Rooms, St. Francis Road, Southville / ...SB37	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	£2,303.29	No Limit	The provision and maintenance of Tree Planting either on street or in public open space as the Council shall determine within a one-mile radius of St. Francis Road	Available to support tree planting
14/00307 / Former Granby House Clinic, St. Johns Road, Bedminster / ...SB89	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	£10,493.20	No Limit	The provision and maintenance of Tree Planting either on-street or in public open space as the Council shall determine within a one-mile radius of St. Johns Road	Available to support tree planting

09/03824 / Land adjacent to Ashton Avenue Pumping Station, Clift House Road, Southville / ZCD...965	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	£10,207.77	No Limit	The design and implementation of a tree planting and landscaping scheme in the immediate vicinity of the Pumping Station Land	Specifically for tree planting near to the Pumping station land
Total		£208,882.58			

Allocated funds – Transport

Page 20

Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution	Update as of March 2016
06/04513 / Ashton Vale Prefabs (Site 4) / ZCD...805	Adam Crowther / David Bunting	£11,067.13	20 Jan 14	The provision of security and street lighting improvements for the development	Funding committed and scheme designed for implementation in 2014
04/02916 / Merrywood Road, Southville / ZCD...536	Shaun Taylor	£1,193.60	No Limit	Towards the cost of upgrading the crossing points at the junction of Merrywood Road and North Street to facilitate the safe and convenient movement of pedestrians	Works complete, so money needs to be transferred to Maintenance, who carried out the works
Total		£11,260.73			

Unallocated funds – Transport

Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution	Update as of February 2016
98/02234 / Trafalgar House, Winterstoke Road, Ashton / ZCD...077	Gareth Vaughan-Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£2,897.46	No Limit	The provision of transport measures in the vicinity of Trafalgar House	Available Winterstoke Road contributions are as a result of an underspend on a historic S106 scheme. Some £41K has now accrued in total over time These monies could be pooled together and reallocated to a new priority (subject to approval by Bristol City Council). It may be prudent to assess the impact of South Bristol Link on Winterstoke Road before choosing what these monies should be spent on
98/03650 / Sainsburys, Winterstoke Road, Ashton / ZCD...215	Gareth Vaughan-Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£14,527.09	20 Sep 07	The improvement of transport conditions on the public highway in the vicinity of Sainsburys, works to include improvement to public transport and walking and cycling in the area.	
95/01815 / Former Winterstoke Road Bus Station, Ashton / ZCD...108	Gareth Vaughan-Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£24,001.97	No Limit	Transportation measures to improve conditions in the area of impact of the Development	
15/00291 / Bower Ashton Campus, Kennel Lodge Road, Ashton / ...SC20	Gareth Vaughan-Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£20,029.69	No Limit	The improvement of pedestrian facilities with 0.5 kilometres of Bower Ashton Campus	Available This contribution is to be used to table the Blackmoors Lane roundabout
05/01047 / Robinsons Building, East Street, Bedminster / ZCD...538	Gareth Vaughan-Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£1,151.55	16 May 12	The provision of a yellow box at the junction of St. John's Street and East Street.	Available Current proposal cannot be implemented due to road safety grounds
05/01047 / Robinson Building, East Street, Bedminster / ZCD...909	Nick Pates	£11,577.97	8 Jun 15	A contribution towards improvements to and signage of cycle routes to serve the area in the vicinity of the Robinson Building	Available

06/01644 / Megabowl, Brunel Way, Ashton / ZCD...628	Gareth Vaughan- Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£86,156.86	No Limit	Upgrading the proposed signals at the junction of the Ashton Gate Underpass to Brunel Way to provide a surface level pedestrian crossing of Ashton Gate Underpass and the North and Southbound carriageways to Brunel Way	Available Developer has been contacted by Jim Cliffe to ascertain whether the contribution could be spent on alternative local priorities in Bower Ashton
98/02307 / South Liberty Lane, Ashton / ZCD...145	Gareth Vaughan- Williams (Highway Services Manager)	£10,010.38	No Limit	Traffic measures designed to solve the problem of 'through traffic' using residential roads in the vicinity of the property.	Available Proposal towards installation of drop kerbs in Ashton Vale
Total		£170,352.97			

Greater Bedminster Neighbourhood Partnership CIL monies held - 31 December 2016

Monies to be spent on measures to support the development of the Neighbourhood Partnership's area, by funding:
a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area

Date Received	Application	Site Address	Amount
04/02/15	14/00751	Ashton Gate Stadium, Ashton Road, Ashton (2)	£17,921.59
22/04/15	14/00307	Granby House, St. Johns Road, Bedminster (2)	£1,888.59
10/08/15	14/00751	Ashton Gate Stadium, Ashton Road, Ashton (3)	£31,681.60
01/10/15	13/03706	119 Smyth Road, Ashton	£559.52
15/10/15	14/00307	Granby House, St. Johns Road, Bedminster (3)	£2,832.89
18/11/15	15/04395	4 Dampier Road, Ashton	£47.20
06/01/16	13/04874	St. Lukes Hall, William Street, Bedminster (1)	£1,733.06
05/02/16	14/00751	Ashton Gate Stadium, Ashton Road, Ashton (4)	£31,681.60
11/04/16	14/00307	Granby House, St. Johns Road, Bedminster (4)	£2,832.89
26/04/16	13/04874	St. Lukes Hall, William Street, Bedminster (2)	£1,733.06
12/05/16	13/03164	49 North Street, Bedminster	£337.50
21/07/16	14/05493	122 Swiss Drive, Ashton	£680.36
05/09/16	16/01884	1 Acramans Road, Southville	£925.67
12/10/16	16/00906	214 Ashton Drive, Ashton	£1,064.20
25/10/16	13/05801	58 Greville Road, Southville (1 and 2)	£2,669.42
01/11/16	13/04874	St. Lukes Hall, William Street, Bedminster (3)	£2,599.59
08/12/16	15/05097	127 to 131 Raleigh Road, Southville	£3,555.52
08/12/16	16/00013	127 to 131 Raleigh Road, Southville	£2,165.09
30/12/16	16/02969	1 Melville Terrace, Bedminster	£160.55
Total			£107,069.90

To be subtracted from above:

- **Hebron Burial Ground £3,500**
- **Ashton Vale Club for Young people - £3,850**
Installation of an in Ground trampoline
- **South Bristol Toy Library - £3,500**

Accessible glass internal door to the main entrance of United Reformed Church Hall

- **Ashton Vale Community Centre £4,175**

Improvements to the environment immediately outside the community centre

- **Way Out West - £15,000**

Research, design and work to improve road safety between the school and its playing fields

- **Southville Community Development Association - £ 68,717.90**

Alterations to pavements to improve safety for members using the new Chessell Centre

- **Windmill City Farm - £7,100**

Improvements to pathways through the Farm gardens

- **Compass Point Parent Teachers & Friends Association - £1,227**

Improvements to public fields for the public and pupils

£0 available to allocate as off 16 March 2017

Updates Environment subgroup

Activity/Description	Cost to date £	Update
Objective 1		
<p>Improving our Parks and open spaces; Play/ Habitats/ Accessibility</p>		<p>St Johns church yard consultation finished on Friday 24th February 2017 380 local residents and business consulted 12 people responded</p> <p>. People generally happy with plans. Main comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not to move Memorial Stone • Lighting to be improved along proposed path • Trees to be looked at. • <p>Dan Judges will consider residents suggestions and contact residents with updated plan</p> <p>Environment subgroup update</p> <p>GBCP to consider how we can communicate the air quality problem to the public</p> <p>ESG going forward:</p>
Objective 2		

To develop new play/youth facilities for Ashton Vale

Ashton Vale Community Centre is being explored as an option for the play facilities

Targeted Youth Services

Draft Commissioning Plan Consultation

Consultation period: 2nd February to 27th April
2017

Summary presentation for Neighbourhood
Partnerships

Page 27

People

Strategic Commissioning (Children)

Slide 1



Current contract BYL

- BCC currently spends £4.2 million a year on open access youth and play services, targeted group, 1:1 and online services. Current contract is called Bristol Youth Links (BYL) and it runs until 2018.
- There are Seven geographical area based contracts and two citywide contracts aimed at children and young people aged 8-19 years (up to 25 years for young people with learning difficulties and disabilities).
- Citywide Specialist services; counselling, drug and alcohol, healthy relationships, preventing homelessness, and specific services for LGBTQ+ young people and for deaf young people.
- The number of individuals worked with during April 2015 – March 2016 is approximately 7,360.
- Young People gain outcomes in soft skills as well as hard outcomes such as 623 yp getting back into education, employment and training 2015-16

What the new model has considered

A budget reduction of £1.2 million

Link to the 'Our journey Together' priorities put together by CYP & youth sector (being healthy, safe, learning skills)

Children Young People and Families Strategy provide the overarching outcomes (safe, healthy, involved, achieving)

Need for more targeted services on areas of deprivation and vulnerable children and young people – tackling inequality in the city

How the contract will deliver statutory duties:

- Those not in education employment or training (NEET) – tracking and reporting back nationally
- Access to and advertising positive activities

Proposed investment

Annual total spend	Spend over 3 years	Spend over 5 years
£3,314,000	£9,942,000	£16,570,000

Investment in each of the elements	Proposed spend
Area Youth Services	£2,167,800
Drugs & Alcohol Support	£213,500
Healthy Relationship Support	£213,500
Online Youth Service	£120,000
NEET Co-ordination and Tracking	£150,000
Supporting the youth sector	£249,200
Total	£3,114,000

Investment elsewhere (not in scope)	Proposed Spend
Mental Health Support (counselling)	£75,000
Young People's Housing and Independence Pathway	£50,000
YOT intensive diversionary activities	£75,000
Total	£200,000

People

Strategic Commissioning (Children)

Slide 4



Element one: Tracking (£150,000)

The draft proposal is for a contract which checks (tracks) that young people are still in education, employment or training until they are age 18.

This is one of our statutory duties, meaning we have to do it by law.

An organisation might do this by phoning or contacting young people through social media.

When they have found them, if they are not in education, employment or training they will ask the local youth support services to work with them to give advice and support to get them back into education, employment and training.

The tracking organisation will use a database that links with the council so they can gather all the statistics needed for central government reports.

Element two: Youth Sector Support (£249,500)

Feedback in engagement phase was there was not enough support for youth sector organisations.

The draft proposal is to set aside a sum of money which organisations could access to support proactive partnership working via a citywide contract.

This money could be used to help organisations to sustain a universal offer of youth and play sessions. This could help lots more children and young people attend projects across the city.

The organisation who manages the money will need to work with other organisations across the city to do this.

It might be seed funding, or providing opportunities for the sector to get together for training and development.

Element three: Online Youth Service (£120,000)

To fulfil our statutory duties to provide access to and publicise positive activities we propose and online service.

Young people have told us they access information via social media, so a clear link with social media should be included.

We also know that the best way of delivering it is to ensure children and young people create the design of it, so it is kept up to date and people keep coming back to use it.

We propose to give the money to an organisation who will work with children and young people to either continue the Rife and go places to play websites or develop something new to do this.

Element four: Specific Support Drug/alcohol and Sexual Health Services (£427,000)

The draft proposal is to include 2 elements of specific support: sexual health and drug and alcohol services.

The specific support services will focus on the time limited 1:1 work with young people identified as needing support.

Young people will be referred into the services via schools, colleges, Early Help etc.

Element five: Local Area Targeted Youth Services (£2,167,800)

The draft proposal is for 3 local area contracts (North, South, East Central) or one citywide contract

The funding will need to target young people living in areas of deprivation, so different areas of the city will be allocated more or less funding according to the index of deprivation.

Services will need to:

Receive referrals to work with young people from social care, Youth Offending etc.

Work with young people not in education employment or training (NEET)

Link in with the multi agency co-ordinating hubs to develop integrated working (these are currently being developed)

Be inclusive and accessible to children and young people with additional needs or vulnerabilities

Consultation feedback

Please give us your collective or individual feedback via:

Online questionnaire:

<https://bristol.citizenspace.com/people/targeted-youth-services-commissioning-plan/>

Email to byl@bristol.gov.uk

There will be a young person's version and questions developed by Young Commissioners



**Greater Bedminster Community Partnership
7.00 pm, 16 January 2017**

Present:

* De-notes apologies/absent

Ward Councillors

- * Councillor Charlie Bolton, Southville (**apologies received**)
- * Councillor Mark Bradshaw, Bedminster; (**apologies received**)
Councillor Stephen Clarke, Southville;
Councillor Celia Phipps, Bedminster;

Partners

Representatives of people who live and work in the Neighbourhood Partnership area

Alan Baker, Friends of Ashton Gate Station

Ben Barker, Friends of Dame Emily Park

Stef Brammar, Way Out West

- * Donald Branch, Caraboo Community BS3
- * Julie Chapman, Ashton Vale Club for Young People/ Young Bristol
- * Ricky Dowden, Ashton Vale Together
Naomi Fuller, Playing Out
Ellie Freeman, Way Out West
Simon Hankins, Southville Community Development Association
Phil Manning, BS3 Churches Together
Mike McBeth, Southville Running Club
Alan Pratley, Bedminster Older Peoples Forum
- * Kris Tavender, Bristol Sport

Other Interested parties:

Also in Attendance:-

- * Lorena Alvarez, Neighbourhood Officer
Andrew McLean, Neighbourhood Co-ordinator
Joshua Van Haaren, Democratic Services Officer

1. Welcome, Apologies and Introductions (agenda item no. 1)

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting and invited everyone to introduce themselves.

Apologies were received from Cllr Charlie Bolton & Donald Branch

2. Declarations of Interest (agenda item no. 2)

There were no declarations of interest from the Councillors, aside from community involvement as part of their roles.

3. Bristol Ageing Better Update - Community Navigators and Community Development (agenda item no. 3)

Bristol Ageing Better (BAB) Update

- A project update was given by Dan Lewin of Linkage. This provided an overview on the work in the community as part of tender won from BAB (Bristol Ageing Better) to work with older people in Greater Bedminster and demonstrated how this relates to the strategic objectives of GBCP to become first age friendly Neighbourhood in Bristol and to reduce isolation and loneliness.
- Just completed first year of project and an overview was given for information including work to involve older people in decision making, representing the diversity of the community, initiatives to bring different ages together, joint activities with other partners and training older people for leadership roles.
- Various outputs on the back of small amount of funding bolstering existing work and increasing capacity – hired admin to free up time for communications development. A three year project now entering its second year 2 – lots of work still to be done consolidating various projects including linking in with other BAB strands.

Achievements and key events have included:

- **Developing stakeholder network in the community** with great success so far, including Cllrs, GPs, BCC, Older People's Forums, Police. Focussing on how to reach isolated groups and building contacts and databases.
- **Developed "what's on guide"** to help older people find out what's going on locally the largest ever.
- **Annual wellbeing day** proved to be a successful engagement event last year (June 23rd) with good attendance and range of local organisations which also helped inform new activities and classes for older people.
- **Work to reduce barriers** to participation for older people – for example disability – working to deliver audio guide with action for blind people.
- **Bristol LGBT** – work ongoing to reduce barriers for this demographic and how to make offer more attractive for LGBT older people.
- **Dementia navigators and supporting new Alzheimer's café**

- **Macmillan engagement** for cancer patients/survivors providing information and opportunities to get involved.
- **Greater Bedminster Older People's Forum** – Offered help with marketing and other comms work.

The future:

- Communications strategy with partners and strengthening network and advisory group as well as increasing engagement and rolling out more activities.
- Volunteer transport pilot to ascertain viability and need as well as continuing work on reducing barriers.

The following remarks were received:

1. One member raised the issue of an elderly neighbour of 94 had to pay large sums of money for a taxi to the hospital – is there any help for transport costs or assistance? The volunteer transport scheme could help tackle these problems – with database of lifts for older people to access. Linking in with people in neighbourhood. Being looked at through BAB and UWE for ideas could be coming online in the future.
2. It was noted lots more going on beside what there was time for in the update. Despite this there are still older people who are isolated and the cohort is becoming larger, there was a need to be aware that the money will come to an end and that the work is on-going but good so far.
3. It was asked if there were posters available for community notice boards to advertise activities and services. No generic linkage poster but one could be developed. Also room to post the leaflets available from Linkage offices.
4. It was suggested that GP notice boards could be used to publicise.
5. Issues with transport schemes were raised especially around accessing data and promoting to disconnected citizens. Dan agreed it will need to be tailored and these schemes are notoriously difficult to run. Sustainability issues to be looked at.

Hospitals elsewhere run volunteer schemes which could give examples – Linkage to research and find viable options ideally with no funding and self-sustaining.

Community Navigators Update

- Cllr Celia Phipps now employed as a Navigator, this is aimed at reducing the number of people going to GPs with non-medical complaints .Finding out people's needs to find out where they need to go and help them to help themselves. BAB and CCG (Clinical Commissioning Group, NHS) are hoping to connect the community more broadly than GP services. Paid for one year with every hope it will continue.
- How to find isolated individuals was raised as a key issue. Developing networks and communicating to find isolated people by tapping into local knowledge of GPs and neighbours will be a core part of the plan.
- **Action:** It was suggested that a dedicated meeting for older people could be viable as there so much to cover.
- The CP priorities were being addressed with all this work and it was welcomed for the GBCP area.

- **Action:** It was suggested that Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinators/Leaders know their area well and these residents could be used as champions to contact isolated residents.

4. Public Resolutions (agenda item no. 4)

One item was received and presented by Stef Brammar (Appended to public document pack).

- The campaign to get a playground in Ashton Vale (AV) had been an ongoing since pre 2009.
- “Ashton Vale Together” was set up to get playground running and they scoped out suitable sites to this end. The situation was outlined in the statement from Tom Penn on why suggested sites are not feasible.
- All agreed that AV needs a play area of some sort and felt this was a disappointing outcome. The forum resolved to continue looking for ways around this as well as being open minded on the nature of the provision e.g. Could be simple and low maintenance.
- Fencing issues also needed to be considered.
- Resolution had been raised as groups in Ashton Vale had put in lots of work and didn’t want it to slip off the agenda.
- Other options raised by AM after discussion with Tom Mclean including CAT.
- **Decision: Seconded and will be forwarded to environment group next week.**
- It was noted that there are other areas not within 400m of play equipment, as per green spaces strategy, within Southville and Bedminster. This could be a wider area for environment sub-group to consider.
- CIL and Section 106 process is still uncertain post April 1st but it was confirmed that the CP will have control up until then.

5. GBCP Future Structure Update (agenda item no. 5)

A Summary of workshop from last Friday was given and the committee received an overview of the minutes.

- Cllr Asher Craig (Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods) had attended first hour and outlined the huge financial challenge facing the City Council.
- **There will be no support to NPs post April** and the wellbeing fund is to be cut by £100k. It will focus on areas of deprivation and there will be an impetus to make clear the real needs of Bedminster.
- **CIL and S106 may still be devolved** but no clarity was given on how this will be done. There is a proposed role for VOSCUR in supporting Community Asset Transfers and community management of facilities.
- GBC representation was called for at the upcoming meeting called by Penny *Germon*
- **Action: details to be recirculated.**
- **Action: Youth representation to be addressed.**

6. Future Neighbourhood Plan update (agenda item no. 6)

GBCP role was highlighted as unique and in one form or another it was agreed that it needs to be preserved. How formal engagement with council will function is, as yet, undecided as well as how partnership would operate independently of BCC.

- Comments were welcomed and the slides were to be circulated with a consultation document for the board.
- Officer input would be available over the transition period and Lorena is to be briefed to draw up commission work as a priority. It was acknowledged how difficult this transition is for all involved.
- **Practical issues such as a Timetable for the final decision on what the position of the CP will be post April needs to be considered.**
- **A full conversation and debate on the Urban Parish structure proposals will follow** off back of the setting of future priorities and the new role of the NPs. Although it was noted this would include tax raising powers and could fundamentally alter the relationship with the community.
- The board recognised that there are a number of organisations with resources and contacts across the communities the Partnership serves that need to be enabled, it was agreed that core task of the CP is to get the best out of these and to get people working together.
- It was decided to carry on with the existing plan until a decision from the city council had clarified the role and future of the CPs/NPs formally.
- **The future Neighbourhood plan is uncertain** – The unanimous agreement was to keep people working together in some form. But there were questions to be answered over what the priorities will be. It was agreed that it would likely reaffirm the 8 original priorities on a more simplified basis (these had formed the basis of the large council imposed structure).

7. Current Neighbourhood Plan Update (agenda item no. 7)

Following questions received:

1. **AM confirmed money had been allocated for Greville and Duckmore** pre-spending freeze but the money post restructure isn't assured.
 - 1a. Traffic calming and pedestrian/cyclist access was highlighted as a priority. More details were needed on designs to be widely disseminated amongst the immediate community. A plan needs to be presented on how they are planning to consult with community **Action:** key groups need to be involved, including the school and Duckmore Community Groups, lots of contacts from consultation and all agreed it was critical to re-engage.
2. **Street trees update** – Is money still available? **Action:** Andrew to chase
3. **St John's Church yard** – The consultation was welcomed and it was hoped that it will lead to action and not merely further discussion. **Action:** Ben to brief Cllr Clarke to help take this forward.
4. **Warden Rd bin stickers have been handed out** – may start taking bins in conjunction with Bristol waste. Difficulties in identifying bin ownership on complex HMO heavy Street.

8. Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator Business Report (agenda item no. 8)

Two decisions were required:

1. For neighbourhood committee – Cllr Clarke and Cllr Phipps- to release S106 money for drop curb work in Ashton Vale – Passed

2. Whole board – Transformers youth fund- Avon & Somerset Constabulary money to target different youth providers in the area. Is board happy for council proposal for £5k to be decided via health and wellbeing submissions via existing forms?

Timescale end of March (27th) – suggested that submissions to be encouraged in next newsletter

Age range: 8-25 (27 if disabled) models of delivery can include small grants to individuals orgs and youth groups, scouts learning partnerships etc. Or one more targeted lump sum.

Action: *Recommendations to be received back before 27th March – awards panel process 25th*

- Urgent need to spread the word via NP mailing list/Newsletter, The Pigeon and a multi-channel approach was agreed.
- **Action:** Andrew Mclean to put together wording and circulate. Decision: Deadline 17th March, Awards panel 20th. AM to also circulate the CIL/106 applications template.

9. Community Updates (agenda item no.)

Community updates

- **It was confirmed the Lantern Parade is going ahead** this weekend after rescheduling – weather permitting.
- The event was completely volunteer run – money was largely used to pay for artists in schools and traffic management –and may be last one. Big sticking point is the heavily subsidised teaching programme for schools – unless there is another route of funding found.
- **Last weekend of February Window Wonderland** confirmed was going ahead.
- **Winter night rough sleeper trial with churches in Bristol** – Bedminster involved through Salvation Army. A four week trial of a mobile shelter with view to run throughout winter next year. Done through St Mungos on reference – more information to follow on uptake of the scheme.
- **Bedminster Access Group** was to identifying people with disabilities to help monitor retail streets and residential areas – only a small group that relied on networks and volunteers to help inform.
- **RPS consultation results being analysed** – all organisations were welcome to talk to Cllr Clarke on this.
- Inaugural meeting of the friends of Parson Street station tomorrow.
- **Chessle centre project funding update** –Bond information on Monday with Triodos 6pm-730pm with Southville centre.
- **Metrobus** – No information available on blind corner cycle route that crosses the route, needs chasing. Bridge is finished but completing either end to enable opening of bridge. Blind corner will go down into chicane to stop people not straight across.

To note:

4th Feb: City Council meeting from 10-4 on future of NPs, next GBCP meeting March 27th.

Date of the Next Meeting: 7.00 pm, Wednesday, 15 February 2017, Training room, Amerind Grove Care Home, 124-132 Raleigh Road, Ashton BS3 1QN

CHAIR _____



Greater Bedminster Community Partnership 7.00 pm, 15 February 2017

Present:

* De-notes apologies/absent

Ward Councillors

Councillor Charlie Bolton, Southville;
Councillor Mark Bradshaw, Bedminster;
Councillor Stephen Clarke, Southville;
Councillor Celia Phipps, Bedminster;

Partners

Representatives of people who live and work in the Neighbourhood Partnership area

- * Alan Baker, Friends of Ashton Gate Station
- * Ben Barker, Friends of Dame Emily Park
- * Stef Brammar, Way Out West
- * Donald Branch, Caraboo Community BS3
- * Julie Chapman, Ashton Vale Club for Young People/ Young Bristol
- * Ricky Dowden, Ashton Vale Together
- * Naomi Fuller, Playing Out
- * Ellie Freeman, Way Out West
- * Simon Hankins, Southville Community Development Association
- * Phil Manning, BS3 Churches Together
- * Mike McBeth, Southville Running Club
- * Alan Pratley, Bedminster Older Peoples Forum
- * Kris Tavender, Bristol Sport

Other Interested parties:

Also in Attendance:-

- * Lorena Alvarez, Neighbourhood Officer
- Andrew McLean, Neighbourhood Co-ordinator
- Sam Mahony, Democratic Services Officer
- Claudette Campbell, Democratic Services Officer

1. Welcome, apologies and introductions (agenda item no. 1)

CLlr Celia Phipps took the Chair for this meeting of the Neighbourhood Committee to decision on the allocation from the Section 106 fund and Community Infrastructure Levy fund.

2. Declarations of interest (agenda item no. 2)

- a. At the start of the meeting no declarations were made by the members
- b. As the discussion on agenda item 2 progressed, allocation of the CIL funds members declared the following interest;
 - i. Mark Bradshaw – non pecuniary interest but as Chair of the Way out West organisation.
 - ii. Celia Phipps – pecuniary interest via a family member in Southville Community Association (SCDA)
 - iii. Stephen Clarke – pecuniary interest in SCDA
 - iv. Charlie Bolton – pecuniary interest in SCDA
- c. The Democratic Services Officer examined the nature of the interest and established that pecuniary interest was held by 3 of the 4 members in respect of the application by SCDA for funding from CIL. The following action was taken:
 - The 3 members concerned were asked to withdraw from the decision making process.
- d. The committee was informed that one councillor was not quorate and legal advice would be sought on how to take the decision .

Action: DSO to consult with Legal. Members comments were noted on the applicants listed in the report, to bring forward to the agreed decision making process.

3. Section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy allocations (agenda item no. 3)

- a. The meeting was called to enable the Neighbourhood Committee to make the decision on allocations based on the recommendation of the sub-group in respect of CIL funds and the recommendation of the partnership Co-ordinator in respect s.106 funds.
- b. The Chair directed the discussion to section 2 of the report that detailed the funds available and the priority list as recommended by the GBCP sub-group. The following comments were made as each applicant was considered.
 - i. The balance of the CIL funds was confirmed at **£103,569.90** and not as stated in the report of £101,188.74.
 - ii. The Partnership Co-ordinator produced the sub-groups working document in the form of the flip chart sheets, detailing the thinking process.
 - iii. **Ashton Vale Club £3850** – Members were concerned about funding equipment that would not be maintained. Appropriate insurance cover and repairs maintenance have to be undertaken by identifiable group. The trampoline will be on housing land but management cost would not be supported by the housing revenue fund. City Parks department were not in the position to take on the management due to restricted funds. The following condition was added and if satisfied the allocation would be made;
 - The allocation would be made subject to Young Bristol agreeing to take on management and maintenance of the trampoline with appropriate public liability insurance.

- Action: AM to liaise with Tom Penn in Parks and with Young Bristol to confirm their position on management of the equipment.
- Action: All enquiries to be made and answers received before the end of March. If the above conditions cannot be met no allocation would be made.

iv. South Bristol Toy Library - £3500

- There was concern over whether the United Reformed Church Hall were agreeable to the alteration to the church doors. The request was for sums to support the replacement of the doors with accessible glass door.
- Action: AM to contact the church for confirmation before the award was made.
- Agreed subject to the above.

v. Ashton Vale Community Centre - £4175

- Members were assured that the improvements to the area immediately outside the centre would contribute to the future development of a toddler play area. The proposed fencing would align with the future development and would meet the requirements proposed by Jon Bos, Property Partner responsible for Community Asset Transfers negotiations.
 - Agreed

vi. Way out West - £15,000

- Mark Bradshaw withdrew from the discussion about allocation.
- The committee was quorate.
- The amount was awarded without conditions.

vii. Southville Community Development Association (SCDA)- £95,380

- Members were aware at this point that the balance of the CIL fund would be awarded to this group.
- Cllr Clarke proposed that Windmill Hill City Farm request for £7100 placed at e) on the priority list and Compass Point Parent Teachers & Friends Association at f) request for £1227 be considered above the award to SCDA. That only after awards had been made to these groups should the balance be awarded to the SCDA.
- Cllr Bolton was concerned about ignoring the recommendation of the sub-group.
- Members were advised that the status of the priority list was suggested and recommended to the Committee for final decision.
- At this point 3 Members declared an interest in the SCDA and had to withdraw from the discussion and decision making. The action as set out in section two of the minutes was agreed.
- The following comments were noted during the discussion:
 - I. Members would agree to the Windmill Hill City Farm and Compass Point PTA moving up the priority list.
 - II. For the balance to be awarded to SCDA to improve the safety for members using the Chessell Centre.

- III. The sums requested by SCDA for improvements to community Gardens was not considered as it was lower down the priority list and no further sums would be available from the fund.
- IV. The sub-group did not consider the request of £220,000 from the Bedminster Business viable option because of the need for the project to receive match funding.

Action: CC to consult with legal on the terms of the constitution to resolve the allocation issue where a committee is not quorate. Finals decision were not made on CIL fund allocation.

c. The allocation from the s106 funds

- i. The report sought approval for the allocation of the following schemes from various pots of s106 funds.
 - The Gores Marshall £12,500 – funded from former Megabowl Site, Brunel Way, Ashton code 06/01644
 - Friends of South Street park £19,590 – Lighting and resurfacing improvements and tree planting – code 06/0144 as above and 12/03814/6-10 Stillhouse Lane Bedminster funds.

Resolved: The allocation as given above.

4. Final Decision-making on CIL Fund (agenda item no. 4)

Legal Advice provided was as follows and based on 3 members holding pecuniary interest in an organisation to benefit from an award from the CIL fund.

- a. The Neighbourhood Committee quorum is 2 members out of the 4. With 3 members declaring pecuniary interests one Member committee is not quorate.
- b. The decision making on all allocations as detailed in the priority list should not be taken by the Neighbourhood Committee.
- c. Delegation protocol indicates that as the sum involved is less than half a million the decision must be taken by the relevant Strategic Director, in this instance Alison Comley Strategic Director for Neighbourhoods.

A meeting was convened on the 13th March with the Strategic Director, in the presence of the Democratic Services Officer and Cllr Mark Bradshaw.

- I. DSO presented the priority list and the discussion around each application. Cllr Bradshaw was invited to comment to share background information on each organisation aid understanding.
- II. The Strategic Director made the following decisions for the distribution of the CIL fund of £103,569.90.

See the table on the next page

Greater Bedminster Partnership NP		
Award	Applicant and reason for application	Conditions

Greater Bedminster Partnership NP			
Award	Applicant and reason for application		Conditions
£ 3,850.00	Ashton Vale	Installation of a in ground trampoline	Allocation made only when confirmation received on management and maintenance of the equipment and certainty on where it would be placed on site as not to be impacted by future developments.
£ 3,500.00	South Bristol Toy	Accessible glass internal doors to the main entrance of United Reform Church Hall	Church to confirm that they are agreeable to the alteration.
£ 4,175.00	Ashton Vale Community Centre	Improvements to the environment immediately outside the community centre	Agreed without conditions
£ 15,000.00	Way out West	Research, design and work to improve road safety between the school and playing fields	Agreed without conditions
£ 7,100.00	Windmill City Farm	Improvements to pathways through the Farm gardens	Agreed - moved up the priority list to allow award to be made
£ 1,227.00	Compass Point Parent Association	Improvements to public fields for the public and pupils	Agreed - moved up the priority list to allow award to be made
£ 68,717.90	Southville Community Development Association	Alterations to pavements to improve safety for members using the new Chessell Centre	Agreed the balance of the CIL allocated to the SCDA solely for this development.
£ 103,569.90	Total		
No Award were made to the following applicants - Nil Awards			
£0	Southville Community Development Association	£24,500 requested for improvements to the community Gardens	Strategic Director was clear that none of the funds awarded for the pavement improvements should be spent on this project
£0	Bedminster Business Improvement District	£220,000 for developments to various areas in Bedminster	This organisation needed assistance with match funding and this ask was deem greater than what was available

Greater Bedminster Partnership NP		
Award	Applicant and reason for application	Conditions
Nil award		

Date of the Next Meeting: 7.00 pm, Monday, 27 March 2017, Southville Centre, beauley Road, Bristol, BS3 1QG

Meeting ended at 8.00 pm

CHAIR _____

